

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/644,008	08/20/2003	Kia Silverbrook	DOT05US	4868
24011	7590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			FRECH, KARL D	
BALMAIN,	2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALÍA			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/644,008	SILVERBROOK ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karl D Frech	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED HAVE FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:	,					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10.☐ Other:		Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The terminal disclaimer filed in the copending 10/676,044 application is not sufficient to overcome a non-statutory obviousness type double patenting rejection between this current application and the copending '044 application because, upon review, the terminal disclaimer filed in the copending '044 application does not list this current application. The terminal disclaimer in the copending '044 application is sufficient only to overcome the double patenting rejection of the copending '044 application and US 6,315,200. Applicant has amended the claims of the copending '044 application which would be cause to change the current statutory double patenting rejection in the current application to a non-statutory obviuosness type double patenting rejection.